UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

1

COPY

____x

HOWARD HENRY,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021222324

25

Plaintiff,

-against-

05 CV 8106

WYETH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., WALTER WARDROP, ANDREW SCHASCHL, and MICHAEL McDERMOTT,

Defendants.

June 12, 2006 10:10 a.m.

Videotaped deposition of HOWARD A.

HENRY, pursuant to notice, at the offices
of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP,
666 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York,
before Gail F. Schorr, a Certified
Shorthand Reporter, Certified Realtime
Reporter and Notary Public within and for
the State of New York.

LEGALINI
A MERRILL COMPA

420 Lexington Ave Suite 2108 New York, NY 10170 tel (212) 557-7400 tel (800) 325-3376 fax (212) 692-9171

www.merrillcorp.com

1	HOWARD A. HENRY
10:31:49 2	Q. Mr. Henry, are you married?
10:31:56 3	A. Yes, sir.
10:31:57 4	Q. Do you have any children?
10:31:58 5	A. No, sir.
10:31:58 6	Q. Have you been married
10:32:00 7	before?
10:32:00 8	A. No, sir.
10:32:00 9	Q. Can you tell me about your
10:32:01 10	educational background?
10:32:04 11	A. How far back?
10:32:06 12	Q. Start from high school,
10:32:07 13	please.
10:32:08 14	A. Graduated from Cardinal
10:32:11 15	Spellman High School. After that I
10:32:12 16	went to St. John's University and got a
10:32:15 17	degree in physical science. After that
10:32:18 18	I spent some time at the University of
10:32:20 19	Maryland, came home, worked and got a
10:32:24 20	degree from City College of New York in
10:32:27 21	approximately in the fall of 1997.
10:32:29 22	Q. And what degree was that
10:32:31 23	from City College?
10:32:31 24	A. Chemical engineering.
10:32:37 25	Q. That's a Master's degree?

HOWARD A. HENRY 10:32:39 2 Bachelor's degree. I have 10:32:41 3 two Bachelor's degrees. 10:32:44 4 When did you get your 10:32:45 .5 Bachelor's degree from St. John's? 10:32:47 6 Α. 1990. (Henry Exhibit 1 for identification, Bates stamped 4026 through 4028.) 10 (Henry Exhibit 2 for identification, Bates stamped 3320 11 10:33:27 12. through 3322.) 10:33:27 13 I hand to you two documents. 10:33:29 14 The first document I handed you, 10:33:30 15 Exhibit number 1, if you look in the 10:33:32 16 lower right-hand corner there's a Bates 10:33:34 17 number, 4026. The second document I 10:33:36 18 handed you, Exhibit number 2, if you 10:33:38 19 look in the right-hand corner of that 10:33:40 20 document there's a Bates number 3320. 10:33:51 21 Mr. Henry, referring to 10:33:53 22 Exhibit number 1, this is a copy of 10:33:54 23 your resume? 10:33:55 24 Yes, sir. Α. 10:33:56 25

Q.

Exhibit number 2 is also a

through lab support in formulations of the same American Cyanamid Company.

That lasted for three months I think.

And then soon after I got a job at

Nepera, and then that was a temporary

assignment also, and then I got a call

from Wyeth saying they want to hire me

permanently in August of 1993.

So in August of 1993 I began my career at Wyeth working as a full-time employee as a chemical and development chemist.

- Q. And who did you report to in that position?
- A. At that time it was Dr. David Bloom.
- Q. Dr. David Bloom was the individual at Wyeth who hired you for this position?
 - A. Correct.
- Q And what department did you work in?
- A. Chemical process research and development. It was a medical --

10:39:22 2 10:39:24 3 10:39:27 4 10:39:30 5 10:39:34 6 10:39:37 7 10:39:39 8 10:39:42 9 10:39:49 10 10:39:50 11 10:39:52 12 10:39:55 13 10:40:00 14 10:40:02 15 10:40:02 16 10:40:04 17 10:40:07 18 10:40:08 19 10:40:11 20 10:40:11 21 10:40:17 22 10:40:18 23 10:40:19 24

10:40:21 25

25

10:40:24 2 10:40:26 3 10:40:28 4 10:40:29 5 10:40:31 6 10:40:37 7 10:40:41 8 10:40:47 9 10:40:50 10 10:40:52 11 10:40:57 12 10:41:01 13 10:41:03 14 10:41:04 15 10:41:06 16 10:41:14 17 10:41:15 18 10:41:16 19 10:41:17 20 10:41:18 21 10:41:24 22 10:41:30 23 10:41:32 24 10:41:34 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

it was a medical research division of American Cyanamid.

- And what were your job responsibilities in that position?
- To synthesize ciral lead compounds, to scale up bench chemistry, to improve the chemical synthesis of. actual chemical processes, to assist chemists and engineers in the scale-up of these processes, and to optimize reactions. That's some of the duties. There's some other ones. I can't recall them all.
- And did you report to Dr. Bloom? Was he your supervisor?
 - Α. When I first started, yes.
 - Did anyone report to you? Q.
 - Α. Not at that time.
- Q. What was the next position you had at Wyeth?
- Well, it's hard to distinguish because at certain points you're given certain opportunities. The official position may have been the

- A. That seems to be correct.
- Q. And there is a change in salary, correct?
 - A. Where are we looking?
- Q. I'm comparing the June 27th, 1997 entry.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. To the August 1st, 1997 entry.
- A. That could have been a promotion.
- Q. All right. If you'd look over -- are you familiar with the grade, salary grade levels at Wyeth?
- A. Somewhat. Not a hundred percent.
- Q. Is it your understanding that as a chemist and as a scientist, scientist 1, your grade level was a four and then when you moved into the position scientist 2 the grade level changed to seven?
 - A. Okay. Yes.
 - Q. Would you consider that a

10:45:35 2

Case 7:05-cv-08106-WCC-LMS

- 10:45:36 3
- 10:45:40 4
- 10:45:41 5
- 10:45:42 6
- 10:45:45 7
- 10:45:47 8
- 10:45:48 9
- 10:45:50 10
- 10:45:51 11
- 10:45:52 12
- 10:45:56 13
- 10:45:57 14
- 10:46:01 15
- 10:46:03 16
- 10:46:05 17
- 10:46:07 18
- 10:46:09 19
- 10:46:13 20
- 10:46:16 21
- 10:46:20 22
- 10:46:22 23
- 10:46:24 24
- 10:46:26 25

	1
10:46:27	2
10:46:28	3
10:46:29	4
10:46:30	_: 5
10:46:31	6
10:46:32	7
10:46:35	8
10:46:37	9
10:46:39	10
10:46:41	11
10:46:42	12 .
10:46:43	13
10:46:48	14
10:46:50	15
10:46:51	16
10:46:53	17
10:46:54	18
10:46:56	19
10:46:57	20
10:46:59	21
10:47:01	22
10:47:02	23
10:47:05	24
10:47:14	25

promotion?

- A. More than likely it's a promotion.
 - Q. And why would you consider that a promotion?
 - A. Because of the level change, the level changed.
- Q. So you'd consider it a promotion because there was a change in the salary grade level?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Do you know who was responsible for promoting you to this position?
- A. More than likely it's probably Kevin McCoy.
- Q Did you continue to report to Mr. McCoy?
- A. From what I can recall, yes. They had -- they had different liaisons that you would report to because you'd work on different projects and report to different people during your project course. So there was a lot of quasi

10:47:20 2 10:47:23 3 10:47:25 4 10:47:26 5 10:47:27 6 10:47:32 7 10:47:35 8 10:47:38 9 10:47:40 10 10:47:41 11 10:47:43 12 10:47:45 13 10:47:46 14 10:47:46 15 10:47:47 16 10:47:48 17 10:47:52 18 10:47:55 19 10:47:57 20 10:47:58 21 10:47:59 22 10:48:00 23 10:48:02 24 10:48:03 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

relationships established. But officially it may have been Kevin McCoy.

- Q. Now I'm looking further up on this Exhibit 3, and if you notice for the entry August 16th, 2000, there is another change in title to production engineer; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is it your understanding that you received a new title production engineer?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. On that date?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If you look over further in the right-hand column for that entry August 16th, 2000, it shows a salary grade of nine?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So is it your understanding your salary grade changed from a seven to a nine at this time?
 - A. Yes.

responsibilities in this position?

- To optimize and to perform Α. general maintenance for the equipment that -- that's used to produce Centrum tablets, both Centrum and Centrum Silver and whatever other Centrum products we may be producing at the time, Centrum line of products.
- Q. You mentioned earlier that you applied for this position to the bidding process?
 - Α. Yes.
- Can you describe for me how that process works or how you understand that process to work?
- Α. Basically opportunities are either posted on the company website or on bulletin boards throughout the company. So occasionally you would peruse these sites or these places. position may strike you as something that you qualify for. And the formal bidding process would be to submit a resume either electronically or

- 10:50:45 2
- 10:50:46 3
- 10:50:48 4
- 10:50:50 5
- 10:50:55 6
- 10:50:59 7
- 10:51:02 8
- 10:51:07 9
- 10:51:09 10
- 10:51:19 11
- 10:51:22 12
- 10:51:23 13
- 10:51:28 14
- 10:51:29 15
- 10:51:30 16
- 10:51:32 17
- 10:51:34 18
- 10:51:38 19
- 10:51:41 20
- 10:51:43 21
- 10:51:46 22
- 10:51:48 23
- 10:51:54 24
 - 10:51:56 25

10:51:58 2 10:52:00 3 10:52:03 4 10:52:06 5 10:52:10 6 10:52:10 7 10:52:13 8 10:52:14 9 10:52:18 10 10:52:21 11 10:52:29 12 10:52:31 13 10:52:33 14 10:52:37 15 10:52:40 16 10:52:45 17 10:52:47 18 10:52:50 19 10:52:53 20 10:52:56 21 10:52:56 22 10:52:58 23 10:53:01 24 10:53:02 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

manually in order for it to be considered for the position.

- Q. Is there any other way to obtain a position internally at Wyeth?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q And how is that?
- A. There are individuals who may have a relationship with someone and they may tell them that a position is available, and there may be certain stipulations that one may use so this person would be the most qualified for the position, and positions are afforded to people that way.
- Q. Going back to the production engineer position which you obtained in August of 2000, do you know who else bid on this position?
- A. I can't recall names at this point.
- Q. Do you know who was in the position before you?
 - A Yes
 - Q. If anyone?

- Ο. And your salary increased at a fairly steady rate over the years in 1996 through 2004; is that correct?
 - Α. From what I can see, yes.
- If you can turn your attention to the first page of this Exhibit number 3, please. The next change in job title appears to be a change in March 1st, 2005.
 - Α. Right.
- To a change to process engineer 3; is that correct?
 - Α. That seems to be correct.
- Can you tell me what Q. happened in March of 2005 when you obtained this new job title?
- They introduced an engineering ladder at that time, and based on the qualifications that they devised for the engineering ladder, production engineers with certain years of experience were either -- were transposed into this new nomenclature. So they became production engineers or
- 10:54:01 2

10:54:03 3 10:54:06 4 10:54:09 5 10:54:15 6 10:54:16 7 10:54:18 8 10:54:21 9 10:54:24 10 10:54:28 11 10:54:28 12 10:54:32 13 10:54:33 14 10:54:34 15 10:54:35 16 10:54:39 17 10:54:41 18 10:54:43 19 10:54:48 20 10:54:50 21 10:54:52 22 10:54:54 23 10:54:58 24

10:55:01 25

1

10:55:04 2 10:55:07 3 10:55:09 4 10:55:12 5 10:55:13 6 10:55:15 7 10:55:16 8 10:55:18 9 10:55:18 10 10:55:19 11 10:55:21 12 10:55:22 13 10:55:23 14 10:55:23 15 10:55:29 16 10:55:30 17 10:55:33 18 10:55:36 19 10:55:40 20 10:55:45 21 10:55:58 22 10:56:00 23 10:56:04 24 10:56:05 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

process engineers or principals -- it
depended on your level of experience
and your grade level as to the new
title you were given. So it was a
title change. Your duties remained the
same.

- Q. Did anything change at this time?
- A. Just the title from what I understand. From what I can recall is the title.
- Q. You continued reporting to the same person?
 - A. From what I remember, yes.
- Q. And at this time when your title was changed in March of 2005, who were you reporting to at that time?
- A. 2005, March. I think it was either a combination of Andrew Espejo or Max Katz.
- Q. As of August 2000 when you obtained the production engineer position, you said you were reporting to Walter Wardrop, correct?

- A. From August 2000, yes.
- Q. When did you start reporting to Mr. Espejo and Mr. Katz?
- A. Mr. Katz, January 2005. Mr. Espejo approximately May/June 2004, I believe.
- Q. And how did that change come about when you started reporting to Mr. Espejo in approximately May or June of 2004?
 - A. How did which change?
- Q. The change from reporting to Mr. Wardrop to Mr. Espejo?
- A. There was an organizational cascade. During that organizational cascade certain individuals were appointed to certain positions. As they received their positions certain individuals became part of their reporting structure. And I fell into Andrew Espejo's reporting structure.
- Q. Is it because you were moved or is it because Mr. Wardrop and/or Mr. Espejo were moved?

10:56:08 2 10:56:09 3 10:56:12 4 10:56:17 5 10:56:25 6 10:56:38 7 10:56:38 8 10:56:40 9 10:56:43 10 10:56:46 11 10:56:46 12 10:56:48 13 10:56:50 14 10:56:57 15 10:56:59 16 10:57:02 17 10:57:03 18 10:57:05 19 10:57:10 20 10:57:11 21 10:57:17 22 10:57:19 23 10:57:21 24 10:57:25 25

- A. It's kind of convoluted.

 But the way in which it finally worked out I became a person who fell into this reporting structure of Andrew

 Espejo by way of the new organizational changes.
- Q. Did you make any type of request to report to Mr. Espejo?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. Did you make any type of request not to report to Mr. Wardrop?
- A. Not to report to Mr. Wardrop?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. Did you make any request about who you'd be reporting to?
 - A. Who I'd be reporting to?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Not that I can recall.

MR. McQUADE: Can you mark this number 4, please.

(Henry Exhibit 4 for identification, complaint.)

- 10:57:26 2
- 10:57:28 3
- 10:57:30 4
- 10:57:37 5
- 10:57:39 6
- 10:57:41 7
- 10:57:43 8
- 10:57:45 9
- 10:57:48 10
- 10:57:49 11
- 10:57:50 12
- 10:57:55 13
- 10:57:57 14
- 10:57:57 15
- 10:57:57 16
- 10:57:59 17
- 10:58:04 18
- 10:58:06 19
- 10:58:08 20
- 10:58:09 21
- 10:58:49 22
- 10:58:50 23
 - 24
- 10:59:04 25

	1
10:59:04	2
10:59:06	· 3
10:59:08	4
10:59:11	5
10:59:14	6
10:59:19	7
10:59:21	8
10:59:22	9
10:59:39	10
10:59:44	11
10:59:46	12
10:59:49	13
10:59:51	14
10:59:53	15
10:59:56	16
10:59:59	17
10:59:59	18
11:00:01	19
11:00:07	20
11:00:09	21
11:00:11	22
11:00:12	23
11:00:18	24

11:00:23 25

- Mr. Henry, I placed in front of you a document that's been marked Exhibit number 4. It's a copy of the complaint that was filed in this Is this the document that you action. said you had reviewed before today's deposition?
- Without reading the entire document, it looks to be -- it looks to be the document. Without reading the entire thing, just skimming it.
- In your complaint you allege that you were denied a number of promotions during your employment at Wyeth because of your race; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Can you tell me the first instance in which you believe you were denied a promotion because of your race? .
- I applied for a position of project engineer on or about -sometime in -- I can't remember the

11:00:28 2 11:00:31 3 11:00:37 4 11:00:39 5 11:00:41 6 11:00:41 7 11:00:46 8 11:00:49 9 11:00:50 10 11:00:51 11 11:00:55 12 11:00:59 13 11:01:00 14 11:01:05 15 11:01:06 16 11:01:09 17 11:01:12 18 11:01:15 19 11:01:18 20 11:01:19 21 11:01:21 22 11:01:23 23 11:01:23 24.

11:01:27 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

exact time frame, but it was around 2001/2002, yes, December 2001, about that time.

- Q. And how did you apply for that position?
- A. Using the bid process at Wyeth.
- Q. Why were you interested in this position?
- A. Because during a special circumstance that had arisen at that time certain individuals were given opportunities to do other things and at that time I was performing the duties of a project engineer for a project that was taking place during that time. So I was functioning as a project engineer. So that's where the interest came from.
- Q. Who were you working on this special project with that you referred to?
- A. Peter McGarrigle, Kevin
 Costello, and certain outside vendors.

- Q. And can you describe the project for me?
- A. Basically it was called the continuous coater project where we were supposed to perform duties and functions to facilitate the proper installation, performance, of a new technology that was called the continuous tablet coater. So all the equipment associated with that, all the objects associated with that we were responsible for.
- Q. Do you know how this position became open? Is there someone that left Wyeth that opened up this position as a project engineer?
- A. From what I remember it was a restructuring that occurred and during this restructuring certain engineers were given the title as project engineers, certain engineers will remain production engineers, so forth and so on.

So there was some sort of

1 11:01:38 2 11:01:39 3 11:01:40 4 11:01:42 5 11:01:44 6 11:01:45 7 11:01:52 8 11:01:54 9 11:01:57 10 11:02:00 11 11:02:07 12 11:02:09 13 11:02:10 14 11:02:11 15 11:02:16 16 11:02:20 17 11:02:21 18 11:02:22 19 11:02:25 20 11:02:30 21 11:02:33 22 11:02:37 23 11:02:39 24 11:02:41 25

1 11:02:43 2 11:02:46 3 11:02:46 4 11:02:48 .5 11:02:49 6 11:02:51 7 11:02:51 8 11:02:53 9 11:02:57 10 11:03:02 11 11:03:05 12. 11:03:07 13 11:03:08 14 11:03:10 15 11:03:10 16 11:03:12 17 11:03:13 18 11:03:14 19 11:03:16 20 11:03:17 21 11:03:18 22 11:03:21 23 11:03:22 24 11:03:25 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

restructuring at that time that made the position available.

- Q. So you submitted a bid for this open position, correct?
- A. From what I remember, yes, yes.
 - Q. And what happened next?
- A. Well, I didn't receive the opportunity and I didn't -- at that time I just took it as, well I'll continue to move on and continue searching.
- Q. Were you interviewed for the position?
 - A. Not formally, no.
- Q. Informally were you interviewed?
- A. I don't think I was interviewed at all.
- Q. Did you talk to anyone about this position?
- A. I may have made mention to some of the engineers who were there at the time.

11:03:25 2 11:03:27 3 11:03:28 4 11:03:28 5 11:03:30 6 11:03:33 7 11:03:34 8 11:03:35 9 11:03:38 10 11:03:40 11 11:03:42 12 11:03:44 13 11:03:46 14 11:03:47 15 11:03:51 16 11:03:53 17 11:03:56 18 11:04:00 19 11:04:02 20 11:04:04 21 11:04:06 22 11:04:10 23

11:04:12 24

11:04:12 25

- Q. Do you know who the decisionmakers were on this open position?
- A. I think the main decisionmaker was Kevin Costello himself.
 - Q. Kevin Costello and who?
- A. I think he was -- from what I remember I think he was the major decisionmaker, Kevin Costello.
- Q. Anyone else involved? You said he was the major decisionmaker. Was there anyone else involved?
- A. Well, when I use the word major, it's hard to tell who's major and who's minor at times. You just base your -- base your, for lack of a better word, your ability to understand and gather the information based on what you see going on in front of you. So he seemed to be the main one making the decision because the person was reporting to him.
 - Q. But you don't know who made

the decision?

- Not a hundred percent. Α.
- Ο. And you don't know who was --
 - Well --Α.
- ο. -- was involved in this decision?
- Well I mean Kevin and I had a conversation and based on that conversation he told me that he made this decision himself. Now whether his decision was influenced by anybody else, I don't know. But he said he made the decision himself.
 - When did he tell you that?
- Α. I can't remember exactly when, but it was during -- it was before the announcement was made as to who got the position.
- Q. But after Kevin had made the decision?
- Right. He had said that, you know, there's a girl that he's interested in and, you know, that was

- 11:04:14 2
- 11:04:15 3
- 11:04:17 4
- 11:04:24 5
- 11:04:25 6
- 11:04:26 7
- 11:04:27 8
- 11:04:27 9
- 11:04:29 10
- 11:04:31 11
- 11:04:34 12.
- 11:04:40 13
- 11:04:42 14
- 11:04:43 15
- 11:04:44 16
- 11:04:45 17
- 11:04:47 18
- 11:04:49 19
- 11:04:51 20
- 11:04:53 21
- 11:04:54 22
- 11:04:56 23
- 11:04:57 24
 - 11:04:59 25

his decision.

- Q. Did he tell you the name of this girl?
- A. I don't know if he told me the name at that time.
- Q. Do you know who was ultimately hired for this position?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who was that?
 - A. Cara Muscolo.
- Q. Do you know what Cara's qualifications are?
- A. I know she worked at Wyeth for a short stint. I know that she has a -- at the time she had a BE in chemical engineering.
- Q. Do you know what her work background is?
- A. I know that she worked at Wyeth as -- in the packaging area for a short stint as either a supervisor of some sort, but I don't know a hundred percent.
 - Q. Did you ever work with Cara?

11:05:04 2 11:05:04 3 11:05:07 4 11:05:12 5 11:05:13 6 11:05:14 7 11:05:14 8 11:05:17 9 11:05:17 10 11:05:18 11 11:05:22 12 11:05:24 13 11:05:26 14 11:05:29 15 11:05:32 16 11:05:36 17 11:05:37 18 11:05:39 19 11:05:39 20 11:05:41 21 11:05:44 22 11:05:50 23

11:05:53 24

11:05:53 25

- 11:05:55 2
- 11:06:01 3
- 11:06:03 4
- 11:06:05 5
- 11:06:08 6
- 11:06:10 7
- 11:.06:13 8
- 11:06:13 9
- 11:06:14 10
- 11:06:16 11
- 11:06:16 12.
- 11:06:18 13
- 11:06:20 14
- 11:06:20 15
- 11:06:21 16
- 11:06:22 17
- 11:06:27 18
- 11:06:28 19
- 11:06:34 20
- 11:06:36 21
- 11:06:38 22
- 11:06:46 23
- 11:06:48 24
 - 11:06:49 25

- Α. Yes, I have.
- When did you work with her? Q.
- At various times during various projects throughout my stint at Wyeth when I was an engineer. engineers, we kind of cross-pollinate, if you will.
- Did you work with her before she was hired for this particular position?
 - À.. No.
- But you worked with her after?
 - Α. Yes.
- And what are your impressions of Cara?
- I'm not here to judge her. We worked together and, you know, I guess there are all areas where we can learn from each other and grow from each other. So, basically for the most, part we were amicable toward each other.
 - Do you have any reason to

11:06:50 2 11:06:52 3 11:0.6:53 4 11:06:54 5 11:06:56 6 11:07:05 7 11:07:06 8 11:07:08 9 11:07:13 10 11:07:15 11 11:07:21 12. 11:07:23 13 11:07:30 14 11:07:32 15 11:07:35 16 11:07:36 17

11:07:47 18

11:07:50 19

11:07:51 20

11:07:53 21

11:07:57 22

11:07:59 23

11:08:01 24

11:08:04 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

believe she was not qualified for the position she was hired at the time she was hired?

- A. I cannot say she was not qualified.
- Q. And after the decision was made and having had the opportunity to work with her, do you believe that she was in fact qualified for the position?
- A. I believe that she -- she performed her functions, she did her duties. She performed the function in the position, but I can't -- I wouldn't judge her in that light. It's not for me to judge her like that.
- Q. But I'm asking you to judge her and I'm asking you to tell me based on your experience with her in this position whether she was in fact qualified for this position?
- A. I can't say she was not qualified. I can't say that she didn't perform the duties in the position.

 From what -- from my interaction with

her we interacted well, and that's the best I can assess.

- Q. Do you know what criteria was used in selecting Cara Muscolo for this position?
 - A. All of the criteria, no.
- Q. Do you know any of the criteria?
- A. I mean what was mentioned to me was that there was a -- there was an area that she -- she -- she had some exposure to and based on that the decision was made.
- Q. But you weren't involved in the decisionmaking process?
 - A. No.
- Q. And who told you about this? You mentioned someone told you about this one criteria. Who was that person?
 - A. Mr. Costello himself.
- Q. When you spoke to Mr.

 Costello about this decision, did you express any disappointment that you

1 11:08:06 2 11:08:11 3 11:08:14 4 11:08:16 5 11:08:21 6 11:08:26 7 11:08:28 8 11:08:29 9 11:08:29 10 11:08:31 11 11:08:34 12 11:08:40 13 11:08:42 14 11:08:48 15 11:08:50 16 11:08:51 17 11:08:52 18 11:08:56 19 11:08:57 20 11:09:01 21 11:09:01 22 11:09:03 23 11:09:18 24

11:09:21 25

weren't selected for the position?

- A. I mean I didn't -- I don't know if I'm -- if I kind of looked disappointed. I may have looked disappointed, but at that time he and I, I took it as, you know, that was his decision, I respected it, and I moved on at that time.
- Q. Do you have any basis for believing that you were not chosen for this particular position because of your race?
- A. I believe a pattern was established as time went on. I mean I wasn't really looking for that at that time. You know, we were all working together to achieve goals, to do what's right for the company. So I wasn't really looking for anything at that time. But as time went on a pattern established itself.
- Q. I don't think you answered my question. I'll ask it again. Do you have any basis for believing that

11:09:24 2 11:09:26 3 11:09:29 4 11:09:31 5 11:09:33 6 11:09:37 7 11:09:40 8 11:09:42 9 11:09:43 10 11:09:52 11 11:09:54 12. 11:09:56 13 11:09:59 14 11:10:02 15 11:10:05 16 11:10:08 17

11:10:11 18

11:10:15 19

11:10:17 20

11:10:18 21

11:10:21 22

11:10:25 23

11:10:26 24

11:10:30 25

	1
11:10:31	2
11:10:34	3 '
11:10:37	4
11:10:43	5
11:10:43	6
11:10:44	7
11:10:46	8 ,
11:10:49	9
11:10:50	10
11:10:50	11
11:10:53	12
11:10:54	13
11:10:55	14
11:10:55	15
11:10:57	16
11:10:58	17
11:10:59	18
11:11:01	19
11:11:02	20
11:11:05	21
11:11:06	22
11:11:08	23
11:11:12	24
11:11:14	25

you were not chosen for that particular position because of your race?

- A. At that time? Or now?
- Q. At that time.
- A. At that time I didn't see what I saw now.
- Q. So the answer is at that time, no?
 - A. At that time, no.
 - Q. Okay. Now how about now?
 - A. Now --
- Q. Do you have a basis for believing?
- A. Now, as a pattern established itself I believe there is a basis for it.
- Q. And what is that basis for believing that you were not chosen for this particular position because of your race?
- A. Basically as I began to apply for more positions, and I -- not in every case, but in most of those cases, there seemed to be a pattern at

which we weren't even considered, when I say we, myself, and some of the African American men that I spoke with, were not considered for a managerial track position at Wyeth.

- Ο. Any other basis?
- Α. There may be some other basis, but I mean the baseline that's established, the foundational truth that's been established took time to develop themselves. It wasn't something that -- it wasn't an instantaneous thing that spurred in my emotion or spurred in my heart, but it's something that presented itself over time.
- I'd like you to focus again on this particular hiring decision. And is there anything at all about this particular decision, asking you just about this decision in isolation, do you have any basis for believing that you were not chosen because of your race? And I understand what you've

11:11:17 2 11:11:22 3 11:11:25 4 11:11:27 5 11:11:31 6 11:11:37 7 11:11:40 8 11:11:41 9 11:11:47 10 11:11:50 11 11:11:52 12. 11:11:57 13 11:11:58 14 11:12:01 15 11:12:03 16 11:12:05 17 11:12:06 18 11:12:08 19 11:12:10 20 11:12:12 21 11:12:15 22 11:12:20 23 11:12:22 24 11:12:24 25

1

HOWARD A. HENRY

11:12:28 2

11:12:29 3

11:12:34 4

11:12:36 5

11:12:38 6

11:12:41 7

11:12:43 8

11:12:45 9

11:12:50 10

11:12:52 11

11:12:54 12

11:12:57 13.

11:13:01 14

11:13:01 15

11:13:05 16

11:13:07 17

11:13:10 18

11:13:12 19

11:13:14 20

11:13:18 21

11:13:20 22

11:13:28 23

11:13:32 24

11:13:34 25

said about the continue --

- A. Right. I mean it's -it's -- at that time I didn't see
 things the way I see it now. So at
 that time I can say no. But as -excuse me, as time went on, you look
 back and you understand, you see
 certain things and you say this
 established -- establishes a pattern in
 your mind as a certain type of behavior
 that's consistent with the basis that
 we're not given those opportunities to
 advance.
- Q. So other than this pattern as you described it, is there anything else? Do you have any other basis for believing that you were not chosen for this particular project engineer position in 2001 because of your race, yes or no?
- A. The word basis to me means it precludes any other form of ideology, like a formula in one's mind to believe that it should be denied an

11:13:36 2 11:13:39 3 11:13:41 4 11:13:44 5 11:13:47 6 11:13:51 7 11:13:52 8 11:13:54 9 11:13:56 10 11:13:58 11 11:14:00 12. 11:14:07 13 11:14:12 14 11:14:14 15 11:14:16 16 11:14:19 17 11:14:21 18 11:14:27 19 11:14:29 20 11:14:32 21 11:14:37 22 11:14:39 23

11:14:41 24

11:14:44 25

opportunity. And the word basis to me that you're trying to work around tells me that, you know, there's nothing else that could come into my mind to say that I was denied this opportunity.

Now at the time, you know, if we look at it -- if I look at it from the point in time where I was denied the opportunity I would say no, because I wasn't looking for things like that. But it ties in to what I stated. That's the best way I can answer that question. I can't answer it the way you would like me to, unfortunately, but I mean that's the best way I can answer it for you.

Q. Mr. Henry, I've asked you a question which calls for a yes or no answer. I've listened to your answer to the last question. I'll restate the question for you and ask you to reconsider it and answer yes or no if you can.

So other than the pattern as

And you say if I can.

I'm just telling you that based -- when

11:14:45 2 11:14:48 3 11:14:51 4 11:14:53 5 11:14:55 6 11:14:59 7 11:15:03 8

you described it, is there anything else, do you have any basis for believing that you were not chosen for. this particular project engineer position in 2001 because of your race?

11:15:11 10

11:15:07 9

you say -- when you use basis, there may be other things that I may not be considering, that at this time I don't

11:15:13 11

have -- I can't really bring to my

11:15:15 12 11:15:18 13

mind. So, there may be a basis.

11:15:23 14

I understand, but at this Q. time there's nothing else that comes to

11:15:25 15

your mind?

Α.

11:15:26 16

There may be.

11:15:28 17

Q. Yes or no?

11:15:31 18

Α. There may be a basis. all due respect, there may be a basis.

11:15:33 20

11:15:31 19

But you can't tell me what that basis is --

11:15:35 21

Α. At this time --

11:15:37 22 11:15:38 23

-- as you sit here today?

11:15:39 24

Α. At this time, no.

11:15:40 25

1	
11:15:52 2	
11:15:53 3	
11:15:57 4	
11:15:59 5	
11:15:59 6	
11:16:01 7	
11:16:02 8	
11:16:03 9	
11:16:04 10	
11	
11:17:07 12:	
11:17:07 13	
11:17:13 14	
11:17:17 15	
11:17:19 16	
11:17:24 17	
11:17:28 18	
11:17:30 19	
11:17:39 20	
11:17:40 21	
11:17:47 22	
11:17:49 23	
11:17:50 24	
•	

11:17:51 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

MR. MORELLI: Can I have one moment with my client, do you mind?

MR. McQUADE: No.

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Should we go off the record?

MR. MORELLI: Yes, you can go off.

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Going off the record at 11:16.

(Witness confers with counsel.)

THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Returning
to the record at 11:17.

- Q. Mr. Henry, if we can move forward chronologically in time and if you can tell me when you next were denied a promotion and you believe that you were denied that promotion because of your race.
- A. It would have to be the project coordinator position.
 - Q. And what position was that?
- A. Excuse me, production coordinator.
 - Q. And when was that?

11:17:51 2 11:17:58 3 11:18:01 4 11:18:04 5 11:18:06 6 11:18:09 7 11:18:13 8 11:18:15 9 11:18:22 10 11:18:24 11 11:18:26 12 11:18:30 13 11:18:37 14 11:18:46 15 11:18:47 16 11:18:48 17 11:18:50 18 11:18:52 19 11:18:56 20 11:18:57 21 11:18:58 22 11:19:00 23 11:19:01 24

11:19:02 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

- A. 2002, around the time of June/July of 2002.
- Q. And where was that, in what division, department or group was that opening?
- A. It was in Lederle Consumer Health, in the consumer health division of Wyeth Pharmaceuticals.
- Q. For this open position -- who would be the supervisor for this open position, do you know?
- A. At the time it was Andy Schaschl.
- Q. And how did you apply for this position, if you applied?
- A. Through the -- through the bidding system.
- Q. What happened after you submitted your bid?
- A. I got a call for an interview.
- Q. Do you remember who called you?
 - A. No.

11:21:32 2 11:21:34 3

- 11:21:36 4
- 11:21:37 5
- 11:21:40 6
- 11:21:42 7
- 11:21:44 8
- 11:21:47 9
- 11:21:47 10
- 11:21:48 11
- 11:21:49 12.
- 11:21:52 13
- 11:21:53 14
- 11:21:59 15
- 11:22:00 16
- 11:22:03 17
- 11:22:05 18
- 11:22:06 19
- 11:22:10 20
- 11:22:12 21
- 11:22:13 22
- 11:22:14 23
- . 11:22:17 24
 - 11:22:23 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

on-and-off relationships with him, you know, interaction with him.

- Q. At this time, though, in July of 2002 --
 - A. We interacted.
- Q. But you didn't work together on a regular or consistent basis; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you remember what Mr. Schaschl's position was at this time?
- A. From what I remember, he was a director.
- Q. And is it your understanding that he was the individual who was going to be making the hiring decision?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you considered this position a promotion?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. It was from what I understood a level change, a change in salary, a change in responsibility, and

11:22:32 2 more exposure.

- Q. Do you know who was ultimately selected for this position?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who was that?
 - A. Chris DeFeciani.
- Q. Chris DeFeciani, is that a man or a woman?
- A. A man, with all due respect, a man.
- Q. Do you know what Chris DeFeciani's race or origin is?
 - A. He's a white male.
- Q. Do you know anything about, or at that time, July 2002, did you know anything about Mr. DeFeciani?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What did you know about him?
- A. He worked as a compliance specialist. He also started within the company in something called lab operations where he -- he technically was in charge, you know, cleaned up labs and cleaned up the offices, things

11.02.32

1

- 11:22:39 3
- 11:22:51 4
- 11:22:52 5
- 11:22:53 6
- 11:22:53 7
- 11:23:04 8
- 11:23:07 9
- 11:23:09 10
- 11:23:11 11
- 11:23:11 12
- 11:23:12 13
- 11:23:22 14
- 11:23:28 15
- 11:23:30 16
- 11:23:33 17
- 11:23:36 18
- 11:23:36 19
- 11:23:42 20
- 11:23:43 21
- 11:23:45 22
- 11:23:46 23
- 11:23:53 24
- 11:23:55 25

- like that, he was a supervisor for awhile. So I know a little bit about him.
- Q. At that time was he working with Todd Davenport?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you know whether he -- at that time whether he had been filling in for Mr. Davenport when Mr. Davenport was absent?
 - A. He may have.
- Q. Would he have been reporting to Mr. Schaschl at that time?
- A. I know there was one point where the position reported into Mr. Bob Bracco.
 - Q. And who is Bob Bracco?
- A. He was an associate director, but as far as with who the position reported into, it may have reported into Andy Schaschl at that time. I think so.
- Q. Now, was this in a different division or group than you were working

11:23:57 2

11:23:59 3

11:24:02 4

11:24:02 5

11:24:03 6

11:24:06 7

11:24:10 8

11:24:11 9

11:24:12 10

11:24:15 11

11:24:16 12.

11:24:20 13

11:24:22 14

11:24:25 15

11:24:27 16

11:24:29 17

11:24:32 18

11:24:34 19

11:24:49 20

11:24:53 21

11:24:54 22

11:24:56 23

11:24:57 24

11:25:00 25

11:27:06 2 anyone?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Who did you discuss it with?
- A. I discussed it with, I may have discussed it with Todd Davenport briefly, and some of the people that -- there were some people that came to me, I don't recall their names.
- Q. But you believe that the decisionmakers were Todd Davenport and Andrew Schaschl; is that correct?
 - A. No, I don't,
 - Q. No?
- A. No, I believe the main decisionmaker was actually Andy Schaschl. He was the main decisionmaker.
 - Q. And why do you believe that?
- A. Based on what I learned later on that was how I came to that conclusion.
- Q. How did you learn that later on? Is that something you learned during the course of this litigation?

11:27:21 8

11:27:07 3

11:27:11 4

11:27:12 5

11:27:18 6

11:27:20 7

- 11:27:23 9
- 11:27:26 10
- 11:27:28 11
- 11:27:30 12
- 11:27:33 13
- 11:27:35 14
- 11:27:35 15
- 11:27:37 16
- 11:27:39 17
- 11:27:41 18
- 11:27:42 19
- 11:27:43 20
- 11:27:51 21
- 11:27:56 22
 - 11:27:56 23
 - 11:27:58 24
 - 11:27:59 25

him in approximately January 2004, correct?

- Α. At that time -- at this time, yes.
- And can you tell me about that discussion you had with Mr. Schaschl in 2004?
- I asked him how was the Α. decision made as far -- regarding the production engineer product -- excuse me, product coordinator position at the time when it was available. He said that well, Chris had done it for a little while, he had done it for a little while. So I said well, given the opportunity, I would have liked to have done it for a little while. Because usually you're given the opportunity to do something for a little while just to expose yourself to it, and it, you know, if you show interest in a particular position they may extract some of the duties of that position for you to do it so that you

1 11:28:56 2 11:28:59 3 11:28:59 4 11:29:01 5 11:29:02 6 11:29:03 7 11:29:05 8 11:29:07 9 ·11:29:13 10 11:29:16 11 11:29:18 12 11:29:22 13 11:29:24 14 11:29:26 15 31:29:31 16 11:29:36 17 11:29:40 18 11:29:43 19 11:29:45 20 11:29:46 21 11:29:48 22 11:29:52 23 11:29:55 24 11:29:56 25

11:30:00 11:30:04 3

available perhaps slide into the

can -- should the position be made

11:30:07 4

position or be the chief candidate for the position once it becomes available.

11:30:13 .5

ο. What did Mr. Schaschl say?

11:30:16 6

11:30:23

Basically he said that he,

11:30:24 8

meaning Mr. DeFeciani was chosen because

11:30:27 9

he did it for a little while, and I said,

11:30:30 10

well, when it was made available on a

11:30:32 11

temporary basis I would like to have had

11:30:34 12.

an opportunity to do it for a little

11:30:38 13

while.

And he said, well, it was given to Rich because he did it for a little

11:30:41 14

while. And I said, well, when Chris went

11:30:43 15

out hurt on medical leave why wasn't I

11:30:46 16

afforded the opportunity to do it for a

11:30:52 17

little while, you know I was interested

11:30:54 18

in the position, you knew Chris and I

11:30:55 19

interviewed for the position, therefore,

11:30:58 20

I would have liked the opportunity to

11:31:00 21

have done it for a little while. And he

11:31:02 22

kind of excused and he kept on repeating

11:31:05 23

that, you know, the reason why Rich was

11:31:07 24

given the temporary assignment to do it

11:31:09 25

11:31:12 2 11:31:14 3 11:31:16 4 11:31:17 5 11:31:21 6 11:31:22 7 11:31:23 8 11:31:23 9 11:31:25 10 11:31:28 11 11:31:29 12 11:31:30 13 11:31:31 14 11:31:33 15 11:31:35 16 11:31:40 17 11:31:41 18 11:31:44 19 11:31:45 20 11:31:47 21 11:31:48 22 11:31:49 23 11:31:49 24 11:31:54 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

was that he did it for a little while.

- Q. Did he explain any other, did Mr. Schaschl explain any other reason for his decision in choosing Mr. DeFeciani?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you ask?
- A. I mean -- yes, I asked him,
 I said -- you know, sorry, I didn't
 mean to cut you off.
 - Q. No, go ahead.
- A. I mean basically I questioned him again and I said so he did it for a little while and he said yes. That was it.
- Q. Is there anything else you recall from this conversation with Mr. Schaschl in January of 2004, anything else you said or anything else he said?
- A. Regarding this particular issue?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Not that I can recall.
 - Q. Did you tell Mr. Schaschl

11:31:58 2 11:32:00 3 11:32:01 4 11:32:09 5 11:32:10 6 11:32:12 7 11:32:16 8 11:32:17 9 11:32:18 10 11:32:22 11 11:32:24 12. 11:32:29 13 11:32:31 14 11:32:35 15 11:32:37 16 11:32:39 17 11:32:42 18 11:32:48 19 11:32:53 20 11:32:56 21 11:32:57 22 11:33:01 23 11:33:04 24 11:33:06 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

that you believed you had not been chosen because of your race?

- A. At that time, no.
- Q. At that time, January 2004, had you told anyone that you believed that you had not been chosen for any particular position because of your race?
- A. I started to suspect certain things at that time, but I didn't want to come out right and say it until I felt, you know, that was the case.
- Q. So before -- at this time you had made no complaint with anyone at Wyeth regarding racial discrimination of any sort, January of 2004?
 - A. Not that I can recall, no.
- Q. You mentioned in your meeting with Mr. Schaschl in January 2004, you mentioned something about Mr. DeFeciani being out for a period of time and you had wanted to fill in for him. Can you explain to me what that was about?

- A. Mr. DeFeciani went out on medical leave. He had to get some heart surgery. So there was going to be someone who was going to fill the position as an interim, and it was going to -- that's how the position was made available on an interim basis.
- Q. So Mr. DeFeciani went out on medical leave?
 - A. Right.
- Q. With the understanding that he would be returning to Wyeth?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Returning to the same position?
 - A. Right.
- Q. So the position was just someone filling in in Mr. DeFeciani's absence, correct?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Did you tell anyone that you were interested in this particular position or filling in for Mr.

 DeFeciani when he was out on medical
- 11:33:07 2 11:33:11 3 11:33:13 4 11:33:18 5 11:33:20 6 11:33:28 7 11:33:30 8 11:33:33 9 11:33:37 10 11:33:37 11 11:33:38 12 11:33:38 13 11:33:40 14 11:33:41 15 11:33:42 16 11:33:42 17 11:33:42 18 11:33:46 19 11:33:48 20 11:33:49 21 11:33:50 22 11:33:53 23 11:33:56 24 11:34:00 25

leave?

HOWARD A. HENRY

11:34:03 2

- 11:34:07 3
- 11:34:08 4
- 11:34:14 .5
- 11:34:16 6
- 11:34:16 7
- 11:34:18 8
- 11:34:19 9
- 11:34:21 10
- 11:34:21 11
- 11:34:24 12.
- 11:34:31 13
- 11:34:42 14
- 11:34:45 15
- 11:34:47 16
- 11:34:51 17
- 11:34:51 18
- 11:34:53 19
- 11:34:58 20
- 11:35:02 21
- 11:35:05 22
- 11:35:08 23
- 11:35:09 24
 - 11:35:15 25

- I had a discussion with Mr.
- Wardrop as to why I wasn't afforded the opportunity to do it when Chris was out.
 - Q. Mr. Wardrop or Mr. Schaschl?
 - Α. Mr. Wardrop.
- And when did that conversation occur?
- It was -- it occurred around January of -- January/February of 2003.
- And this was after the time period where Mr. DeFeciani had gone out and returned from medical leave?
- Α. I can't recall. I don't know.
- Do you know whether you told anybody, anybody at Wyeth that you were interested in filling in for Mr. DeFeciani either before he went out on his medical leave or during his medical leave?
- I don't recall. I don't recall.

1 11:36:43 2 11:36:46 3 11:36:48 4 11:36:51 5 11:36:54 6 11:36:57 7 11:36:59 8 11:37:02 9 ·11:37:03 10 11:37:06 11 11:37:09 12 11:37:11 13 11:37:12 14 11:37:12 15 11:37:15 16 11:37:19 17 11:37:19 18 11:37:22 19 11:37:23 20 11:37:24 21 11:37:33 22 11:37:36 23 11:37:37 24

11:37:39 25

that they, meaning Schaschl and others, because I asked him who's the they, he said Andy and some others, I didn't ask him who the others were, told him, I mean basically made a decision that Rich Morgan would be able to do it for a little while, should be given the opportunity to do it for a little while.

- Q. And was in fact Chris Morgan the individual who filled in for Mr. DeFeciani?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Had Mr. Morgan been filling in for Mr. DeFeciani prior to this --
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. -- when he was absent for short periods of time?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know anything about Mr. DeFeciani's qualifications for this particular position as a production coordinator?
 - A. As to when he first got it

	Ouco 1.		
		1	
	11:37:43	2	
	11:37:48	3	
	11:37:49	4	
	11:37:50	; 5	
	11:37:51	6	
	11:37:55	7	
	11:38:01	8	
٠	11:38:07	9	
	11:38:08	10	
	11:38:10	11	
	11:38:11	12	Ì
	11:38;12	13.	
	11:38:13	14	
	11:38:13	15	
	11:38:15	16	
	11:38:19	17	
	11:38:20	18	
	11:38:21	19	
	11:38:21	20	
	11:38:40	21	

or him performing the duties himself?

- Let's start with when he first got it.
 - Α. No.
- Q. And how about today, as you sit here?
 - Α. I mean no.
 - Q. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. DeFeciani was not qualified for the position?
 - Α.. No.
 - ٥. At the time he was hired?
 - Α. No.
 - Do you have any reason to believe that he was not qualified at any time he was serving in this position?
 - Do you have any reason to Q. believe -- strike that.

Can you tell me what your basis is for believing that you were not selected for this particular position because of your race?

- Α. No.
- 11:38:41 22 11:38:43 23 . 11:38:46 24
 - 11:38:48 25

A. When I approached Mr.

Schaschl and I asked him, you know, he appeared truculent and he stated that basically the reason why Chris got it was because he did it for a little while. I stated that well I wanted the opportunity to do it for a little while, you were well aware of the fact that I interviewed for the position previously, and that should an opportunity like this come up, I should have been afforded that opportunity.

And I don't recall his response at this time, but for all intents and purposes he just stated that well, at that time the decision was made because Chris had did it for a little while.

- Q. Anything else?
- A. No, I can't recall at this time.
- Q. Now how about Mr. Morgan, the individual who filled in for Mr. DeFeciani during his medical leave, do

11:38:51 2 11:38:55 3 11:39:01 4 11:39:06 5 11:39:10 6 11:39:11 7 11:39:14 8 11:39:16 9 11:39:18 10 11:39:19 11 11:39:22 12 11:39:25 13 11:39:31 14 11:39:32 15 11:39:39 16 11:39:40 17 11:39:43 18 11:39:46 19 11:39:47 20 11:39:49 21 11:39:51 22 11:39:51 23 11:39:57 24 11:39:59 25

11:40:03 2 11:40:04 3 11:40:08 4 11:40:09 5 11:40:12 6 11:40:16 7 11:40:21 8 11:40:30 9 11:40:30 10 11:40:32 11 11:40:35 12. 11:40:37 13 11:40:40 14 11:40:44 15 11:40:47 16 11:40:49 17 11:40:50 18 11:40:53 19 11:40:57 20 11:41:00 21 11:41:00 22

11:41:01 23

11:41:02 24

11:41:07 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

you know anything about his qualifications for filling in for this particular position?

- A. Well, he was a production supervisor. He started out as a pharmaceutical operator. As far as his education, he didn't have a degree at the time.
 - Q Anything else?
 - A. That's basically it.
- Q. Do you know whether a degree is required for this position?
- A. I don't remember the exact job posting. From what I do recall I think a degree, they requested a degree.
- Q. But there was no job posting for the position when it -- when Mr.

 Defeciani went out on medical leave?
 - A. No.
- Q. There really was no position?
 - A. Well, no, there wasn't. No.
 - Q. It was just filling in?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Morgan didn't get a new title during this position, did he, as far as you know?
- A. Well, he would sign things electronically interim production coordinator. And, you know, they afforded us a loose interpretation of using titles like that if you did something on an interim basis.
- Q. Did he continue to use that title after his period of filling in for Mr. DeFeciani ended?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Morgan was not qualified to step in and temporarily perform the production coordinator job duties during Mr. DeFeciani's absence?
 - A. No.
- Q. And can you tell me what your basis is for believing that you were not asked to fill in for Mr.

 Defeciani during his medical leave

HOWARD 2

- 11:41:09 2
- 11:41:10 3
- 11:41:14 4
- 11:41:17 5
- 11:41:18 6
- 11:41:19 7
- 11:41:22 8
- 11:41:26 9
- 11:41:29 10
- 11:41:32 11
- 11:41:34 12
- 11:41:36 13
- 11:41:39 14
- 11:41:41 15
- 11:41:42 16
- 11:41:52 17
- 11:41:53 18
- 11:41:57 19
- 11:42:00 20
- 11:42:03 21
- 11:42:04 22
- 11:42:09 23
- 11:42:13 24
- 11:42:18 25

because of your race?

A. Usually, the -- more -- many of the individuals that had that position went on to become managers. So this position has a lot of exposure, introduces you to a lot of situations that a manager considers as he moves throughout the corporate structure. So this position is used as a stepping stone toward management.

And basically, I made it known throughout my constant speaking with individuals that I wanted to improve, acquire more knowledge and sought management positions, a management position within the organization.

- Q. Do you have any other basis for believing that you were denied this opportunity because of your race?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you know who made the decision to have Mr. Morgan fill in for Mr. DeFeciani during his absence?

11:42:26 2 11:42:28 3 11:42:37 4

11:42:42 6

11:42:39 5

11:42:46 7

11:42:49 8

11:42:53 9

11:42:56 10

11:42:58 11

11:43:04 12.

11:43:07 13

11:43:12 14

11:43:13 15

11:43:18 16

11:43:20 17

11:43:21 18

11:43:22 19

11:43:30 20

11:43:33 21

11:43:35 22

11:43:40 23

11:43:51 24

11:43:53 25

- From what Mr. Wardrop told me it was Andy Schaschl's decision.
- Do you know whether Mr. Q. DeFeciani had any input in this decision?
 - Α. No.
- If I can refer you to the complaint which has been marked as Exhibit number 4, paragraph 25.
 - Α. Yes.
- Q. Paragraph 25 says, "In the spring of 2003, Howard complained to Walter Wardrop regarding his denial of advancement opportunities."
 - Α. Right.
- ο. Is that the conversation with Mr. Wardrop that you had referred to --
 - Α. Yes.
 - -- a little while ago?
 - Α. Yes.
- Q. And what advancement opportunities did you discuss at this particular meeting?

- 1
- 11:43:56 2
- 11:43:57 3
- 11:44:00 4
- 11:44:02 5
- 11:44:05 6
- 11:44:05 7
- 11:44:06 8
- 11:44:20 9
- 11:44:21 10
- 11:44:28 11
- 11:44:29 12
- 11:44:31 13
- 11:44:35 14
- 11:44:37 15
- 11:44:41 16
- 11:44:41 17
- 11:44:46 18
- 11:44:49 19
- 11:44:51 20
- 11:44:51 21
- 11:44:53 22
- 11:44:53 23
- 11:44:57 24
- 11:45:00 25

- $^{45:03}$ 2 A. The mainly --
 - Q. Was it -- I'm sorry.
 - A. No, go on.
 - Q. Was it just the three positions that we have just discussed, the project -- well, I should say two positions, the project engineer position and the production coordinator position?
 - A. From what I can recall, yes.
 - Q. Okay. What was the next position that you were -- that you had applied for but did not receive?
 - A. I think it was the process engineer position.
 - Q. And that position was in the vaccine division; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. That's a separate division than you were working in?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And it's a separate division than the production coordinator position you had previously applied to?
- 11:45:03 2 11:45:04 3 11:45:05 4 11:45:07 5 11:45:09 6 11:45:11 7 11:45:13 8 11:45:14 9 11:45:16 10 11:45:17 11 11:45:20 12. 11:45:31 13 11:45:34 14 11:45:43 15 11:45:45 16 11:45:48 17 11:45:49 18 11:45:52 19 11:45:52 20 11:45:54 21 11:45:56 22 11:45:57 23 11:45:59 24 11:46:02 25

- A. Yes.
 - Q. As well as the project engineer position that you previously have applied to?
 - A Yes.
 - Q. And how did you apply for this position?
 - A. Through the bidding process.
 - Q. What happened after you submitted your bid?
 - A. I think I got confirmation that my bid was received.
 - Q. What happened next?
 - A. From what I remember, I was contacted about an interview.
 - Q. And did you interview?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who did you interview with?
 - A. A gentleman by -- I think his name was Kirit Rokad, I think.
 - Q. And who was Kirit Rokad?
 - A. He was the person that the position reported into.
 - Q. So is it your understanding
- 1 11:46:04 2 11:46:04 3 11:46:06 4 11:46:08 5 11:46:08 6 11:46:09 7 11:46:15 8 11:46:16 9 11:46:18 10 11:46:20 11 11:46:23 12 11:46:25 13 11:46:27 14 11:46:29 15 11:46:34 16 11:46:35 17 11:46:36 18 11:46:36 19 11:46:38 20 11:46:42 21 11:47:01 22 11:47:03 23 11:47:05 24

11:47:10 25

11:47:12 2 11:47:14 3 11:47:15 4 11:47:16 5 11:47:16 6 11:47:18 7 11:47:18 8 11:47:22 9 11:47:25 10 11:47:26 11 11:47:28 12. 11:47:29 13 11:47:30 14 11:47:35 15 11:47:39 16 11:47:41 17 11:47:51 18 11:47:52 19 11:47:54 20 11:48:00 21 11:48:02 22 11:48:04 23 11:48:08 24 11:48:12 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

that he was the individual who was going to be making the hiring decision with this job?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And do you know what his position was at this time?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you know him before --
 - A No.
- Q. And can you tell me what your understanding of this position was?
- A. It was performing functions within the vaccine area as an engineer dealing with their equipment, dealing with aseptic conditions.
- Q. And why were you interested in this position?
- A. Basically, after the restructuring, I decided that perhaps maybe I should look at some other opportunities outside of the consumer health division. So I started to look outside.

MR. McQUADE: Could you

(Henry Exhibit 5 for identification, interview schedule for the process engineer position.)

- Q. Mr. Henry, I've handed you an exhibit marked Exhibit number 5. Do you recognize this document?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is it?
- A. Interview schedule for the -- for the process engineer position.
- Q. And does this refresh your recollection as to who you interviewed with?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Robert Ruth, do you remember your interview with Robert Ruth?
- A. I remember meeting him. I don't remember exactly everything that was said.
- Q. Kirit Rokad we already spoke about. You also met with Andrew Fong.

11:48:18 2 11:48:18 3 11:48:31 6 11:48:31 7 11:48:45 8 11:48:50 9 11:48:53 10 11:48:53 11 11:48:54 12 11:48:56 13 11:48:59 14 11:48:59 15 11:49:02 16 11:49:04 17 11:49:05 18 11:49:06-19 11:49:11 20 11:49:14 21 11:49:16 22 11:49:22 23 11:49:22 24

11:49:25 25

87

1	HOWARD A. HENRY
11:49:28 2	A. Right.
11:49:29 3	Q. Do you remember anything
11:49:30 4	about that particular meeting?
11:49:31 5	A. Not everything that was
11:49:32 6	said, no.
11:49:33 7	Q. Rich Musa, you interviewed
11:49:34 8	with Rich as well?
11:49:35 9	A. Yes.
11:49:36 10	Q. Do you remember anything
11:49:37 11	from that?
11:49:37 12.	A. Vaguely.
11:49:42 13	Q. Gaurav Patel?
11:49:45 14	A. Yes.
11:49:46 15	Q. Do you remember anything
11:49:51 16	from that interview?
11:49:52 17	A. Not at this time.
11:49:53 18	Q. You also interviewed with
11:49:55 19	Monica Amonica?
11:49:57 20	A. Yes.
11:49:57 21	Q. Do you remember anything
11:49:58 22	from that particular interview?
11:49:59 23	A. No.
11:50:00 24	Q. Did you consider this
11:50:10 25	position a promotion?

1 11:50:10 2 Α. Yes. 11:50:13 3 Q. Why? 11:50:13 4 Α. 11:50:15 5 11:50:19 6 involved. 11:50:21 7 11:50:22 8 11:50:24 9 Α. Right.

11:50:24 10

11:50:26 11

11:50:27 12

11:50:28 13

11:50:37 14

11:50:39 15

11:50:44 16

11:50:46 17

11:50:50 18

11:50:50 19

11:50:52 20

11:50:53 21

11:50:53 22

11:50:59 23

11:51:01 24

11:51:02 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

- A. There was going to be a level change from what I understand involved.
- Q. When you say level change, that's the salary level?
- Q. Do you know what the salary level for this position was?
 - A. I can't recall.
- Q. Is there any other reason why you viewed it as a promotion?
 - A. Opportunity, another area.
- Q. Do you know who else bid on this particular --
 - A. No.
- Q. -- position? Do you know who was hired for this particular position?
- A. I remember -- I remember a name Martinez. I don't remember anything other than that.
 - Q. Do you know anyone by the

- :51:49 2 Q. How so?
 - A. Based on the posting I had the qualifications. They requested an engineering background and some of the descriptions that were -- that they described met some of the qualifications that I had.
 - Q. Had you ever worked in the vaccine division at Wyeth before?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you believe that it would have been an advantage to hire someone who had been working in that division?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Why?
 - A. Exposure and experience sometimes defeats some of the things that you may think a person may have, so. A proven track record helps.
 - Q And had you ever worked with any of the individuals in this division that you interviewed with?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And who was that?

11:51:49 2 11:51:50 3 11:51:52 4 11:51:56 5 11:52:00 6 11:52:02 7 11:52:07 8 11:52:09 9 11:52:13 10 11:52:15 11 11:52:16 12 11:52:17 13 11:52:19 14 11:52:23 15 11:52:24 16 11:52:24 17 11:52:29 18 11:52:32 19 11:52:36 20 11:52:42 21 11:52:45 22 11:52:49 23 11:52:51 24

11:52:52 25

	•
	1
11:52:53	2
11:52:55	3
11:52:57	4
11:52:59	5
11:53:04	6
11:53:06	7
11:53:09	8
11:53:10	9
11:53:12 1	LO
11:53:14	.1
11:53:15 1	2.
11:53:17 1	.3
11:53:18 1	.4
11:53:19 1	.5 [·]
11:53:22 1	.6
11:53:39 1	.7
11:53:39 1	. ė
11:53:42 1	.9
11:53:43 2	0
11:53:45 2	1
11:53:47 2	2
11;53:48 2	3
11:53:50 2	4

11:53:55 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

- Α. Rich Musa.
- Ο. Other than Rich?
- Α. No.
- Q. And what is your basis for believing that you were denied this particular position because of your race?
- Well, I didn't -- I didn't express that I was denied this particular position because of my race.
- Do you believe that as we sit here today?
 - Α. No.
- Q. So you don't believe -okay.

Can you tell me what the next position you applied for and were denied?

- Staff engineer.
- Q. And do you know when that was?
- Same time, around January, February 2004.
 - And in what division was Q.

1 11:54:08 2 11:54:09 3 11:54:20 4 11:54:22 5 11:54:24 6 11:54:26 7 11:54:27 8 11:54:39 9 11:54:43 10 11:54:46 11 11:54:50 12 11:54:52 13 11:54:55 14 11:54:56 15 11:54:58 16 11:55:08 17 11:55:09 18 11:55:10 19 11:55:13 20 11:55:19 21 11:55:21 22 11:55:25 23

11:55:25 24

11:55:27 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

that position?

- A. I think it was bioprocess.
- Q. And where does bioprocess fit in?
- A. In the research aspect of Wyeth.
- Q. It's a different department separate from the departments in which the production coordinator and project engineer positions?
 - A. Yes.
- Q And how did you apply for this position?
 - A. Through the bidding process.
- Q. And what happened after you submitted your bid?
- A. I think I received confirmation that I got the -- that my application was received.
 - Q. Anything else happen?
- A. No. That I could recall,
- Q. Did you ever interview for this position?

this -- or I should say how these staff engineer positions came to be opened?

- A. They were posted on the website, the Wyeth job listing website.
- Q. Who held these positions previously?
- A. I don't know. I don't know if they were newly recreated. I don't know.
- Q. What does a staff engineer do?
- A. From what I recall, they
 dealt with the staging of reactions,
 the taking care of equipment associated
 with bioprocesses. They were basically
 going to oversee other engineers that
 were of lower seniority than they were
 and schedule their work and schedule
 some of their duty, from what I
 understand. I don't remember all of
 the information with the posting. But
 it was familiar to me the duties.
- Q. And do you remember who the supervisor would be for each of these

11:56:11 2 11:56:16 3 11:56:22 4 11:56:23 5 11:56:26 6 11:56:28 7 11:56:29 8 11:56:30 9 11:56:34 10 11:56:34 11 11:56:36 12 11:56:37 13 11:56:38 14 11:56:46 15 11:56:48 16 11:56:53 17 11:56:58 18 11:57:01 19 11:57:03 20 11:57:05 21 11:57:06 22 11:57:10 23 11:57:16 24

11:57:18 25

11:57:20 2 11:57:23 3 11:57:26 4 11:57:28 5 11:57:31 6 11:57:34 7 11:57:36 8 11:57:38 9 11:57:40 10 11:57:41 11 11:57:46 12. 11:57:48 13 11:57:51 14 11:57:53 15 11:58:00 16 11:58:02 17 11:58:04 18 11:58:07 19 11:58:10 20 11:58:12 21 11:58:18 22 11:58:21 23 11:58:23 24 11:58:26 25

HOWARD A. HENRY

two openings as a staff engineer?

- A. I know -- I think it said the position reported to a gentleman by the name of John Simpson.
 - Q. Who is John Simpson?
 - A. I think he was a director at the time for that particular area.
 - Q. Did you have any prior relationship with Mr. Simpson?
 - A. We interacted.
 - Q. How did you interact?
- A. Well when I was in research sometimes there was a period where I was working for the division and there was some -- they needed help in that area and I worked with some of the engineers over in that area, and John Simpson and some other individuals were the head -- were heads in that area.
- Q. Do you know who was ultimately selected for the position, these two positions as staff engineer?
- A. I was told Andrew Safernack and David Anderson were the two

- Q. Do you know -- well, you said it's your understanding that Mr. Simpson made the decision?
 - A. Right. When I had my resume I gave it to him personally, so yes.
- Q. What did you tell him when you gave him your resume?
- A. I said I'm very interested in this position, I would like to be interviewed for it. He said well then we're taking our time in the review process, but hopefully somebody will contact you regarding it.
- Q. Anything else that you recall?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. With Mr. Simpson? Did you have any other discussions with Mr. Simpson about these positions?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. So you don't know how the decision was made?
 - A. No.
 - Q. You don't know who was
- 11:59:19 2 11:59:28 3 11:59:31 4 11:59:32 5 11:59:35 6 11:59:38 7 11:59:40 8 11:59:42 9 11:59:43 10 11:59:44 11 11:59:47 12. 11:59:49 13 11:59:53 14 11:59:55 15 11:59:57 16 11:59:58 17 11:59:59 18 12:00:02 19 12:00:03 20 12:00:04 21 12:00:05 22 12:00:08 23 12:00:09 24 12:00:10 25

- Now, do you believe that you were denied this position because of your race?
- I can't say a hundred percent. There's a small -- there's a small -- there's a probability, because I wasn't given an interview. But I mean I have to say no.
- Q. So you don't believe that you were denied this position because of your race?
- I mean the only thing I could say is I wasn't given an interview and that made a suspicion, so.
 - ٥. Anything else?
 - Α. Nothing else.

MR. McQUADE: You know, if we could take a short break, maybe five minutes.

MR. MORELLI: No problem. THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Going off the record at 12:02.

(A recess was taken.)

12:00:57 2 12:01:09 3 12:01:10 4 12:01:11 5 12:01:17 6 12:01:21 7 12:01:24 8 12:01:28 9 12:01:30 10 12:01:32 11 12:01:34 12. 12:01:34 13 12:01:42 14 12:01:44 15 12:01:46 16 12:01:47 17 12:01:48 18 12:01:54 19 12:01:55 20 12:01:58 21 12:02:00 22 12:02:02 23 12:02:02 24 12:02:06 25